

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,570			Gijsbertus Johannes Van Oorschot	F7590(V) 1952	
201	7590	06/08/2006	EXAMINER		INER
UNILEVER	INTEL	LECTUAL PRO	WEBMAN, EDWARD J		
700 SYLVAI BLDG C2 SO		JE,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		S NI 07632-310	1616		

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T A 11 A1 A1	T A				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Antique Commence	10/072,570	VAN OORSCHOT ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Edward J. Webman	1616				
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply 	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			·				
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 h	March 2006.					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
-		in the application					
	4) Claim(s) 1-9,11-16 and 19-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-16 and 19-25</u> is/are rejected.						
•	/) Claim(s) <u>11-10 and 13-23</u> is/are rejected. /) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
	ion Papers						
,—	The specification is objected to by the Examin		Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	;d.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTÒ-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/072,570

Art Unit: 1616

The election of species requirement filed 9/16/03 over food product is withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11-16, 19-21, 23, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japan patent document JP-01277454 (JP-'454).

JP '454 teaches a composition comprising a protein-containing food material and a fermented milk product. The latter is a soybean curd treated with a mould belonging to Monascus. Meat-like food is disclosed. As to the Hue a* value, statin, and amounts of polyphenols, the fungus and substrate are the same as those claimed, therefore the Hue a* value, statins and amount of polyphenols must be present in the anticipatory composition. (A translation of the JP '454 is on order and will be provided with the next action. Applicants are invited to inquire as to whether it is available at the time they are preparing their response to the instant action.)

Claims 11-16, 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the Monascus species of fungi, does not reasonably provide enablement for any fungus. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. On page 5 lines 5-18, the Monascus fungus is specified for obtaining the claimed composition. No other is disclosed.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,570

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 11-16, 19-23, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 19 "soy ingredients" is vague. It is unclear as the metes and bounds of the phrase. No definition is provided in the specification. In claim 21 "polyphenols comprises" is indefinite. In claim 24 "substantially consists of" is indefinite is vague; it is unclear as to how "substantially" modifies the judicially determined narrow meaning of "consisting of". In claim 14 "1 wt." is indefinite. Is "1 wt.% intended? In claim 19 "with statins producing fungus" is indefinite. Is "a" intended before after "with"? In claim 25 "bar meal replacer" is indefinite. Do applicants intend "bars, meal replacer"? See specification, page 9 lines 18-23.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 10 line 10 "1 wt." Is indefinite. Is "1 wt.%" intended?

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 11-16 and 19-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Nowhere in the specification is the

Application/Control Number: 10/072,570

Art Unit: 1616

phrase "at least 50%" in claim 19 and 22 disclosed. Nowhere in the specification is the phrase "at least 80%" in claim 20 disclosed.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 11-16, 19-25 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,849,281.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims encompass the patented claims regarding the particular polyphenols and statins and the patented claims encompass the instant claims regarding the presence of the statin producing fungus.

No claims allowed.

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 571-272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Richter, can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDWARD I. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500